



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/812,809	03/20/2001	Thomas D. Petite	81607-1042	1207
7	590 04/26/2004		EXAMINER	
Daniel R. Mc			NGHIEM, M	IICHAEL P
	en, Horstemeyer & Risle arkway, N.W., #1500	у	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Atlanta, GA 30339-5948			2863	

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/812,809	PETITE, THOMAS	S D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael P Nghiem	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	Idress			
• •	DIVIS SET TO EVEIDE A	MONTU(S) EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the firm of will apply and will expire SIX (6) More atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 February 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11,13 and 17</u> is/are pending in the	he application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) dbjected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	•		• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	ΓO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Stage			
application from the International Bur	=					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	` ' ' '	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/08) 5) ☐ Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PT	J-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/812,809

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment filed on February 17, 2004 has been acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-11, 13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grabowski et al. (US 6,305,602).

With respect to claims 1, 13, and 17, Grabowski et al. discloses a system (10) to monitor the level of light in an area (Fig. 1) comprising:

- at least one sensor (40) that measures the level of light in a lighted area (Fig. 2);
- at least one transceiver (30) that communicates information regarding the level of light in the lighted area, via a communications network (Abstract, lines 6-8, Fig. 1),

Art Unit: 2863

the transceiver configured to repeat messages received from the other transceivers (54) associated with other sensors (40's of 22, 24, 26) (30 receives messages from 22, 24, 26 and transmits them to 32, Fig. 1);

- a central system (32) that communicates with the transceiver via the communications network (Fig. 1);
- a network (network including keyboard) that allows access to the central system (Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 2, Grabowski et al. discloses that the lighted area is one selected from the group consisting of a parking structure, a building, a residence, an underground facility, and a street (14, Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 3, Grabowski et al. discloses that a sensor is one selected from a group consisting of a light sensor, and a camera sensor (light sensor 40's of 22, 24, 26).

With respect to claim 4, Grabowski et al. discloses that the central system comprises of a memory (memory of computer of 32) and a processor (processor of computer of 32) (Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 5, Grabowski et al. discloses that the communications network comprises of a Public Service Telephone Network (column 9, lines 43-45).

Art Unit: 2863

With respect to claim 6, Grabowski et al. discloses that the communication network communicates with another communication network via a gateway (Internet communication, column 5, line 57).

With respect to claim 7, Grabowski et al. discloses a central processing unit (computer of 32) and a memory (memory of 32) communicates with the sensor and the transceiver (Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 8, Grabowski et al. discloses that the transceiver communicates information with a transceiver (54) in another lighted area (30 is in another lighted area as opposed to 24, Fig. 1), wherein the communication between the transceivers form an RF cloud (column 8, lines 10-11, column 9, lines 28-29).

With respect to claim 9, Grabowski et al. discloses that a person who is a technician or a customer, can access the central system (via keyboard, column 11, lines 28-33).

With respect to claim 10, Grabowski et al. discloses that the network is selected from a group comprising the Internet, the wide-area network, and a local network (column 5, lines 55-57).

Art Unit: 2863

With respect to claim 11, Grabowski et al. discloses that the RF cloud forms a backbone that allows a transceiver in a remote lighted area to communicate with the central system via the communications network (54 communicates with 64 via RF).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on February 17, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the 35 USC 102 rejections, Applicants argue that Grabowski does not teach the limitation of "the transceiver configured to repeat messages received from other transceivers associated with other sensors".

Examiner's position is that Grabowski teaches that the transceiver (30) is configured to repeat messages received from the other transceivers (54) associated with other sensors (40's of 22, 24, 26) (30 receives messages from 22, 24, 26 and transmits them to 32, Fig. 1).

Applicants further argue that the instant application is a Continuation-In-Part (CIP) of 09/412,895, which was a CIP of 09/172,554, filed on October 14, 1998. Thus, the effective filing date of the instant application is October 14, 1998. The filing date of Grabowski is November 23, 1998.

Examiner's position is that since the instant application is a CIP of 09/412,895, it contains new matter which cannot benefit from the earlier filing dates of 09/412,895 and 09/172,554. Thus, the effective filing date of the instant application is March 20, 2001. The effective filing date of Grabowski is the filing date of its provisional application 60/067,010, which is December 1, 1997.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Page 7

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Nghiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-2272. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H from 6:30AM – 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached at (571) 272-2269. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Nghiem

April 22, 2004